Safety Organized Practice (SOP) is a collaborative practice approach that emphasizes the importance of teamwork in child welfare. SOP aims to build and strengthen partnerships with the child welfare agency and within a family by involving their informal support networks of friends and family members. A central belief of SOP is that all families have strengths. SOP uses strategies and techniques that align with the belief that a child and his or her family are the central focus, and that the partnership exists in an effort to find solutions that ensure safety, permanency, and well-being for children. Safety Organized Practice is informed by an integration of practices and approaches, including:

- Solution-focused practice¹
- Signs of Safety²
- Structured Decision Making³
- Child and family engagement⁴
- Risk and safety assessment research
- Group Supervision and Interactional Supervision⁵
- Appreciative Inquiry⁶
- Motivational Interviewing⁷
- Consultation and Information Sharing Framework⁸
- Cultural Humility
- Trauma-Informed Practice

¹ Berg, I.K. and De Jong, P (1996). Solution-building conversations: co-constructing a sense of competence with clients. *Families in Society*, pp. 376-391; de Shazer, S. (1985). *Keys to solution in brief therapy*. New York, NY: Norton; Saleebey, D. (Ed.). (1992). *The strengths perspective in social work practice*. New York: Longman.

² Turnell, A. (2004). Relationship-grounded, safety-organised child protection practice: dreamtime or real-time option for child welfare? *Protecting Children, 19*(2): 14–25; Turnell, A. & Edwards, S. (1999). *Signs of Safety: A safety and solution oriented approach to child protection casework.* New York: WW Norton.

³ Children's Research Center (2008). *Structured Decision Making: An evidence-based practice approach to human services.* Madison: Author.

⁴ Parker, S. (2010). Family safety circles: Identifying people for their safety network. Perth, Australia: Aspirations Consultancy; Weld, N. (2008). The three houses tool: building safety and positive change. In M. Calder (Ed.) Contemporary risk assessment in safeguarding children. Lyme Regis: Russell House Publishing.

⁵ Lohrbach, S. (2008). Group supervision in child protection practice, *Social Work Now*, 40, pp. 19-24.

⁶ Cooperrider and David, L. 1990. Positive image, positive action: The affirmative basis of organizing. In S. Srivastva, D. L. Cooperrider and Associates (Eds.) *Appreciative management and leadership: The power of positive thought and action in organizations*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

⁷ Miller, W.R., & Rollnick, S. (2012). *Motivational Interviewing*, (3rd ed.) New York: Guilford Press, 2012.

⁸ Lohrbach, S. (1999). Child Protection Practice Framework – Consultation and Information Sharing. Unpublished manuscript; Lohrbach, S., & Sawyer, R. (2003). Family Group Decision Making: a process reflecting partnership based practice, Protecting Children, 19(2): 12-15.